



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/868,554	09/14/2001	Taro Imagawa	29288.1300	1530		
20322	7590 12/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SNELL & V	VILMER	DESIRE, GR	DESIRE, GREGORY M			
ONE ARIZO	NA CENTER					
400 EAST V	AN BUREN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
PHOENIX, A	AZ 850040001	2627	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2009	DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •								
		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/868,554		IMAGAWA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gregory M. De	esire	2627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h tion. s, a reply within the statutory y period will apply and will exp y statute. cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>07 September 2005</u>	<u>5</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,12-16,18,19 and 21 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 8,10,11,17 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 September 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	001 is/are: a)⊠ acce to the drawing(s) be he correction is required it	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	ut(s)		_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/14/01</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/868,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication filed 9/7/05.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant argues (remark page 12 lines 6-8), Tanaka in view of Katsuyama fails to teach a plurality of elements having the possibility of being concatenated. This argument is not persuasive because it is the position of the examiner Tanaka discloses a plurality of element having the possibility of concatenated (note col. 4 lines 18-25, character elements generated by grouping string examiner interprets as concatenated).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8, 10-11, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (5,825,926) in view of Katsuyama et al (5,625,710). Regarding claims 8, 17 and 20 Tanaka discloses,

Specific character element of the plurality of character elements (string), character elements at a plurality of locations having the possibility of being concatenated with the specific character element are predetermined (note Tanaka col. 4

Art Unit: 2627

lines 18-25, examiner interpret retrieving character string from prepared dictionary shows the grouping of a string being predetermined).

However, Takana is silent disclosing matching between character elements string and second character element string. Katsuyama discloses having a degree of similarity being defined as matching (note col. 14 lines 20-35). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to define similarity as matching in the system of Tanaka. Reducing processing time, would have been a highly desirable feature in the character retrieval art due to its efficiency and Katsuyama recognizes reducing processing time would be expected when degrees of similarity is defined as matching in the system of Tanaka.

Regarding claims 10 and 11 Tanaka and Katsuyama discloses,

Wherein the specific character element is located at an end of a row or column, the plurality of character elements having the possibility of being concatenated with the specific character element are each located at a head of row or column (Tanaka col. 4 lines 15-30, examiner interprets a string has possibility of being group with specific character located a the head of row or column).

Application/Control Number: 09/868,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-7, 12-16, 18-19 and 21 are allowed.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for independent claims 1, 16 and 19. The prior art fails to disclose the third character element is selected when the distance with the character element of the designated second character element string is with in a predetermined range with respect to a reference distance that is an acceptable value of predetermined distance base on a reliability when character recognizing the first character element. Claims 2- 7 depend on claim 1. Therefore are also allowable.

Regarding independent claim 12, 18 and 21, prior art fails to disclose obtaining a probability that a search result matches a second character element string, base on the number of the second character element string, and a number of the second character elements, which is a character recognition result including errors, match corresponding first character element out of the second character elements included in the second character elements. Claims 13-15 depend on claim 12. Therefore are also allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/868,554 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

7. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 9, the prior art fails to teach possibility of being concatenated with specific character element. This in combination with other limitation is not taught in the prior art.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (571) 272-7449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory M. Desire Examiner Art Unit 2627

G.D. November 23, 2005

> SANJIV SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER